## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ARLENA MINTER, on behalf of her SON, C.M. AND DEANNA CAVER, on behalf of her son, T.C., Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 10-cv-15018

Paul D. Borman United States District Judge

Plaintiffs.

v.

OLGA DAZZO, in her official capacity as Director of the Michigan Department of Community Health, and DONALD BERWICK, in his official capacity as Administrator of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services,

Defendants.						

ORDER (1) SETTING HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANT DAZZO'S MOTION TO

DISMISS OR FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. NO. 16);

(2) ADJOURNING WITHOUT DATE THE HEARING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR

CLASS CERTIFICATION (DKT. NO. 11); AND

(3) DISMISSING AS MOOT DEFENDANT DAZZO'S MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING

SCHEDULE (DKT. NO. 18)

Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on December 16, 2010 and filed a motion for class certification on January 21, 2011. (Dkt. No. 11.) Defendant Dazzo filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint or for Summary Judgment on February 7, 20011. (Dkt. No. 16.) The Court has noticed both motions to be heard on April 27, 2011. (Dkt. Nos. 13, 17.) Defendant Dazzo urges the Court, in her motion to extend the briefing schedule, to hear her dispositive motion before addressing the Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. (Dkt. No. 18, ¶ 6.) The Court has concluded that it will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court notes that as of the date of this Order, Defendant Berwick has not answered or otherwise responded to Plaintiffs' Complaint.

hear Defendant Dazzo's motion to dismiss or for summary judgment prior to ruling on Plaintiffs'

motion for class certification. See Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action v. Regents of the

University of Michigan, 539 F. Supp. 2d 960, 973-974 (E.D. Mich. 2008) (noting that a district

court "enjoys discretion in determining whether and when to certify a class" and may hear a motion

to dismiss or for summary judgment, or other threshold issues, prior to ruling on class certification,

recognizing that any ruling on such a motion binds only the class representatives). The Court will

adjourn, without rescheduling at this time, the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

The briefing schedules issued by the Court on January 25, 2011 and February 9, 2011, Dkt. Nos. 13

and 17 respectively, remain unaffected by this Order.

Accordingly, it is Ordered that:

(1) The hearing on Defendant Dazzo's Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment (Dkt.

No. 16), currently scheduled to be hear on April 27, 2011, will be adjourned to August 8, 2011 at

2:00 p.m;

(2) The hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification (Dkt. No. 11), currently

scheduled to be heard on April 27, 2011, will be adjourned without date;

(3) Defendant Dazzo's motion to extend briefing schedule (Dkt. No. 18) is dismissed as moot

in light of the fact that Defendant Dazzo filed her response to the motion for class certification on

February 22, 2011 and in view of this Court's Order that Defendant Dazzo's dispositive motion will

be heard by the Court before the Court rules on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Paul D. Borman

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March 2, 2011

2

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this	Order were	served on the	e attorneys	of record by	electronic	means or	U.S.	Mail on
March 2, 2011	. •							

S/Denise Goodine
Case Manager